

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



N.K

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,695	02/22/2002	James F. McGuckin JR.	1917	· 1281
7	590 05/12/2003			
Neil D. Gershon			EXAMINER	
Chief Patent Counsel Rex Medical			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
2023 Summer Stamford, CT	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3763	, ,
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V_{i}				1: /			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 6			
		10/081,695	MCGUCKIN ET AI	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew F DeSant					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however reply within the statutory minimine will apply and will expire SI atute, cause the application to be	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 February 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>	,	This action is non-fina	al.				
3) 🗌							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion					
•			ion				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
		•		(application)			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO Other:				
J.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,695

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, and 27 are drawn to surgical apparatus with a plurality of fluid delivery members and an actuator, classified in class 604, subclass 044.
 - II. Claims 15-20 are drawn to surgical apparatus with a three fluid delivery members classified in class 606, subclass 167.
 - III. Claims 21-26 are drawn to surgical apparatus with a visual indictor classified in class 600, subclass 471.
 - IV. Claims 28-30 are drawn to method for treating a lesion, classified in class604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions III and I, II are related as combination and subcombinations.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination deals with only two delivery members and both subcombinations have a

Application/Control Number: 10/081,695

Art Unit: 3763

plurality and three delivery members. The subcombination has separate utility such as vaccinating cattle.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination has a plurality of fluid delivery members not exactly three as claimed by the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as ablating tissue, while the combination is used for delivering fluid such as vaccines.
- 4. Inventions IV and III, II, I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as a microneedle device.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3763

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

PLEASE ELECT A SPECIES:

Species 1: Figure 1
Species 2: Figure 25

Species 3: Figures 26, 27, 28a, 28b and 29

PLEASE FURTHER ELECT A SUB-SPECIES:

Sub-Species A: Figure 1 Sub-Species B: Figure 23 Sub-Species C: Figure 24

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no allowable and generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,695

Art Unit: 3763

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 May 8, 2003

Retend

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700